IN THE HIGH COURT OF UTTARANCHAL
AT NAINITAL
Criminal Misc. Application No. 415 of 2004

1. Tanveer Alam S/o Shri Muneer Alam
2. Muneer Alam S/o Shri Abdul Hamid
3. Smt. Masuma W/o Shri Muneer Alam
4. Km. Praveen D/o Shri Muneer Alam
   All R/o Village – Manak Mau
   Police Station Kotwali Dehat
   District Saharanpur (U.P.) … Applicants

Versus

1. State of Uttaranchal
2. Judicial Magistrate, Roorkee
   District Haridwar
3. Moh. Kamil S/o Mohd. Islam
   R/o Village Balelpur
   Police Station Jhabreda
   District Haridwar
   Present R/o Shop Property dealing
   Eid Gahah Chowk
   Police Station Gangnaher Roorkee
   District Haridwar … Respondents

Sri Rajendra Singh learned counsel for the applicants
Sri Parikshit Saini learned counsel for the respondent no. 3

Hon'ble B.C. Kandpal, J.

Learned counsel for both the parties are present before the Court.

Respondent No. 3 has filed affidavit of Mohd Kamil as well as affidavit of Smt. Safwana W/o Tanveer Alam.

Both the affidavits filed before the Court, show that in case crime NO. 73 of 2004 under Sections 498-A, 323, 504, 506 I.P.C. and 3/4 Dowry Prohibition Act, Police Station Gang Naher Roorkee, District Haridwar, both the parties have entered into compromise.

The petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. has been filed before this Court quashing the chargesheet in the aforesaid case.

In view of the affidavit filed by the respondent No. 3 as well as the fact to be taken into account that both the parties have entered into compromise. The chargesheet submitted by the police in case crime NO. 73 of 2004 under Sections 498-A, 323, 504, 506 I.P.C. and 3/4 Dowry Prohibition Act, Police Station Gang Naher Roorkee District Haridwar is quashed.

The petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. is accordingly disposed of.

(B.C. Kandpal, J.)

10th February, 2005
ASWAL